

Sustainability

SDG Solutions Assessment

Methodology & Research Process

July 2026

Version 3.0

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE ON THE METHODOLOGY,
MODELS, AND KEY RATING ASSUMPTIONS
USED IN ESG RATING ACTIVITIES

**ISS
STOXX**

Contents

Figures 4

Regulation (EU) 2024/3005: Public Disclosure on the Methodology, Models, and Key Rating Assumptions Used in ESG Rating Activities 5

Introduction 12

 SDG Solutions Assessment Objective 12

 Methodological Foundations..... 12

 International Agreements 13

 The Objectives 13

 Methodology Reviews 14

 Materiality 14

Objective-Specific Taxonomies 14

 Overview and Links to the SDGs 14

 Sustainability Reporting Standards Topics 16

 Fundamental Decision Process..... 16

 Social Objectives 19

 Combating Hunger & Malnutrition 19

 Alleviating Poverty 20

 Ensuring Health..... 20

 Delivering Education 20

 Attaining Gender Equality 20

 Providing Basic Services 20

 Safeguarding Peace..... 20

 Environmental Objectives 21

 Mitigating Climate Change..... 21

 Achieving Sustainable Agriculture & Forestry..... 22

Conserving Water.....	22
Contributing to Sustainable Energy Use	22
Promoting Sustainable Buildings	22
Optimizing Material Use	22
Preserving Marine Ecosystems	23
Preserving Terrestrial Ecosystems.....	23
Assessment Rules	23
Evaluation Guidelines	23
Key Concepts	23
Dual-Use Approach	23
Enablers	24
Promoters.....	24
Net Sales Share Estimations	24
Scoring and Assessment Outputs	25
Scoring.....	25
Objective Scores	26
SDG Solutions Score.....	27
SDG Solutions Score Aggregation Model – Example for Scenario 3:	28
Research Process	28
Data Collection, Analysis, and Updates.....	28
Sources of Information	29
Limitations	29
Quality Assurance	30
Appendix	31
Appendix A: Example	31
Appendix B: Version Control.....	33

Figures

Figure 1: Overview of 15 Sustainability Objectives14

Figure 2: Overview of Sustainability Objectives and Their Relevant UN SDG(s)15

Figure 3: The SDGA Decision Process18

Figure 4: Examples of Relevant Products and Services for ‘Combating Hunger & Malnutrition’19

Figure 5: Examples of Relevant Products and Services for ‘Mitigating Climate Change’21

Figure 6: Revenue Concept - Example25

Figure 7: Objective Score Calculation Model – Example.....26

Figure 8: Numeric Scale and Categories27

Figure 9: The SDG Solutions Score Aggregation Model.....27

Regulation (EU) 2024/3005: Public Disclosure on the Methodology, Models, and Key Rating Assumptions Used in ESG Rating Activities

This disclosure is published to meet the relevant requirements of the EU ESG Ratings Regulation (EU2024/3005) and related regulatory technical standards. The following index cross-references to the relevant information are made available within the SDG Solutions Assessment Methodology Document and on our website.

Within this disclosure, the [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/3005 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 November 2024 on the transparency and integrity of Environmental, Social and Governance \(ESG\) rating activities](#) is referred to as “**Regulation (EU) 2024/3005**”.

Within this disclosure, the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) of 21 04 2026 supplementing Regulation (EU) 2024/3005 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to regulatory technical standards specifying the elements of ESG rating products to be disclosed to the public and to users of ESG ratings, rated items and issuers of rated items is referred to as “**Delegated Regulation**”.

REGULATION (EU) 2024/3005	DELEGATED REGULATION	REQUIRED INFORMATION	ISS GERMANY AG DISCLOSURE
Rating Product Disclosures			
ANNEX III.1.f	Article 3(1)	ESG rating providers shall disclose information on the ESG rating's clearly defined objective and marking whether the rating is assessing risks, impacts, or both, according to the double materiality principle, or any other dimensions, and in the case of double materiality the proportion of the risk and impact materiality. ESG rating providers shall disclose at the level of each ESG rating product: a) a description of the risks covered, where the ESG rating is assessing risks; b) a description of the impacts covered, where the ESG rating is assessing impacts; c) information on how the risk and impact materiality are taken into account according to the double materiality principle, where applicable; d) where the ESG rating is based on other materiality dimensions, a description of those dimensions.	Please refer to: • SDG Solutions Assessment Objective • Materiality
ANNEX III.1.g	Article 3(2)	ESG rating providers shall disclose the ESG rating's scope, namely, whether it covers an individual E, S, or G factor or whether it is an aggregated rating aggregating E, S and G factors, or whether it covers specific issues such as transition risks. ESG rating providers shall disclose at the level of each ESG rating product: a) a description of what is covered under the E, S or G factors and which factors are aggregated, where applicable; b) a description of the specific issues that the ESG rating covers.	Please refer to: • Scoring and Assessment Outputs

REGULATION (EU) 2024/3005	DELEGATED REGULATION	REQUIRED INFORMATION	ISS GERMANY AG DISCLOSURE
ANNEX III.1.h	N/A	ESG rating providers shall disclose in the case of an aggregated ESG rating, the weighting of the three overarching E, S and G categories of factors (for example 33 % for the E factor, 33 % for the S factor, 33 % for the G factor), and the explanation of the weighting method, including weight per individual E, S and G category.	Please refer to: The SDG Solutions Assessment does not apply weight to individual objectives.
ANNEX III.1.i	N/A	ESG rating providers shall disclose within the E, S or G factors, specification of the topics covered by the ESG rating, and whether they correspond to the topics from the sustainability reporting standards developed pursuant to Article 29b of Directive 2013/34/EU.	Please refer to: Overview and Links to the SDGs Objective-Specific Taxonomies
ANNEX III.1.j	N/A	ESG rating providers shall disclose information on whether the rating is expressed in absolute or relative value.	Please refer to: Scoring
ANNEX III.1.o	Article 3(3)	ESG rating providers shall disclose if an ESG rating of a rated item covers the E factor, information on whether that rating takes into account the targets and objectives of the Paris Agreement or any other relevant international agreements. ESG rating providers shall disclose if an ESG rating of a rated item covers the S and G factors, information on whether that rating takes into account any relevant international agreements.	Please refer to: International Agreements
ANNEX III.1.p	Article 3(4)	ESG rating providers shall at the level of each ESG rating product: a) include an indication of whether the ESG rating takes into account the targets and objectives of the Paris Agreement and which other international agreements are considered, with reference to basic identifying information on	

REGULATION (EU) 2024/3005	DELEGATED REGULATION	REQUIRED INFORMATION	ISS GERMANY AG DISCLOSURE
		these agreements together with an explanation of their relevance to the ESG rating; b) specify whether the ESG rating is assessing alignment of commitments against the objectives of the agreements referred to in point (a).	
Basic Methodological Disclosures			
ANNEX III.1.a	Article 4(1)	ESG rating providers shall disclose an overview of the rating methodologies used and changes thereto, including whether analysis is backward-looking or forward-looking and the time horizon covered. ESG rating providers shall disclose: a) the title of the rating methodology used; b) a description of the types of rated items in relation to which the methodology referred to in point (a) applies; c) the time horizon over which the ESG rating is considered valid, where applicable d) a list and overview of the relevant supporting models and key rating assumptions, where applicable; information on measures and procedures to ensure the quality and reliability of data used; e) a description of the defined ranking system of rating categories used, with reference to: - the meaning of each rating category for absolute and relative values and how the ranking system should be interpreted; - in the case of relative values, an explanation as to whether they are relative to a specific industry, geographical area, peer groups or other comparative references and their respective description.	Please refer to: Points a) and f) • Appendix B – Version Control Points b) to e) • b): SDG Solutions Assessment Objective • c): SDG Solutions Score • d) Sources of Information, Quality Assurance • e) Scoring and Assessment Outputs

REGULATION (EU) 2024/3005	DELEGATED REGULATION	REQUIRED INFORMATION	ISS GERMANY AG DISCLOSURE
		f) the dates of the most recent update of the methodology and a description of the changes introduced to the previous version.	
ANNEX III.1.b	Article 4(2)	ESG rating providers shall disclose the industry classification used. ESG rating providers shall provide: a) the name of the issuing body of the industry classification used; b) the name and version of the industry classification used; c) any publicly available link to the official documentation of the industry classification system.	Please refer to: • Research Process
ANNEX III.1.c	Article 4(3)	ESG rating providers shall disclose an overview of data sources, including whether data is sourced from sustainability statements required under Directive 2013/34/EU or from information disclosed under Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and whether sources are public or non-public, and an overview of data processes, estimation of input data in case of unavailability and frequency of data updates. ESG rating providers shall include a description of the engagement process with rated items or issuers of rated items, including how the input from such engagement is taken into account.	Please refer to: • Data Sources: Sources of Information • Estimation of Input Data: Net Sales Share Estimations • Data and Engagement Processes, and Frequency of Data Updates: Data Collection, Analysis, and Updates
ANNEX III.1.e	Article 4(4)	ESG rating providers shall disclose information on whether and how the rating methodologies are based on scientific evidence. ESG rating providers shall where applicable, describe the process for identifying relevant scientific evidence.	Please refer to: • Methodological Foundations

REGULATION (EU) 2024/3005	DELEGATED REGULATION	REQUIRED INFORMATION	ISS GERMANY AG DISCLOSURE
ANNEX III.1.k	N/A	ESG rating providers shall disclose where applicable, reference to the use of artificial intelligence in the data collection or rating process including information about current limitations and risks of using artificial intelligence.	This requirement is not applicable to the SDG Solutions Assessment
Limitations in data sources, methodologies and information			
ANNEX III.1.m	Article 5	ESG rating providers shall disclose any limitation in data sources and methodologies used for the construction of ESG ratings. ESG rating providers shall disclose any limitation on the information available to ESG rating providers.	Please refer to: Limitations Limitations to Methodologies & Data
ANNEX III.1.q	Article 5	ESG rating providers shall explain any limitations in respect of the following: a) the availability or consistency of data used in the rating process; b) the completeness, timeliness and accuracy of information; c) the use of assumptions, proxy reference points and data estimation.	
Organisational Disclosures			
ANNEX III.1.d	Article 6(2)	ESG rating providers shall disclose the ownership structure of the ESG rating provider. ESG rating providers shall disclose: a) a chart illustrating their ownership links with any parent undertaking and subsidiaries. The chart shall indicate, where applicable, whether any of those entities perform any of the activities listed in Article 16 (1) or services referred to in Article 16(6) of Regulation (EU) 2024/3005.	Please refer to: Ownership Structure

REGULATION (EU) 2024/3005	DELEGATED REGULATION	REQUIRED INFORMATION	ISS GERMANY AG DISCLOSURE
ANNEX III.1.l	Article 6(3)	ESG rating providers shall disclose general information on criteria used for establishing fees charged to clients, specifying the various elements taken into consideration, and general information on the business/payment model. ESG rating providers shall disclose the following: a) how the criteria they apply for establishing fees ensure that fees are fair, reasonable, transparent and non-discriminatory in accordance with Article 27 of Regulation (EU) 2024/3005; b) whether the ESG rating provider operates a subscription-paid model, an issuer-paid model, a combination of those models, or another model; c) a description of the relevant business model, and the proportion of total annual revenue derived from each model; d) where applicable, the impact of fees charged for services other than ESG rating activities on the determination of fees for ESG ratings, together with a description of those other services.	Please refer to: • Pricing Policy
ANNEX III.1.n	Article 6(1)	ESG rating providers shall disclose the main risks of conflicts of interest and the steps take to mitigate them. ESG rating providers shall indicate the areas of the ESG rating provider's activities, services or organisational structure from which the main risks of conflicts of interest may arise.	Please refer to: • Conflict of Interest Policy

Introduction

This document is intended to provide a comprehensive overview of ISS STOXX's approach to evaluating both the positive and negative impacts of companies' products and services portfolios through the Sustainable Development Goals Solutions Assessment (SDGA). A methodology summary can be accessed [here](#).

SDG Solutions Assessment Objective

The SDGA is designed to enable institutional investors to support their investment strategies by providing an opinion on the positive and negative sustainability impacts of companies' products and services portfolios. It follows a thematic approach that encompasses 15 distinct Sustainability Objectives informed by the UN Sustainable Development Goals, guidance from the United Nations (UN) and national agencies, globally recognized taxonomies and certifications, and peer reviewed academic literature. The product's focus is on measuring the extent to which companies are making use of existing and emerging opportunities to contribute to the achievement of global sustainability objectives by offering - and generating revenues from - products and services with a positive impact. Likewise, it assesses products and services that obstruct the achievement of the Sustainability Objectives.

The SDGA applies a proprietary classification system for products and services across five assessment categories which is based on their impact on the achievement of different sustainability objectives:

ASSESSMENT CATEGORY	OBJECTIVE SCORE
Significant contribution	10.0
Limited contribution	5.0
No (net) impact	0.0
Limited obstruction	-5.0
Significant obstruction	-10.0

In addition to aggregated sustainability objective scores on a granular scale from -10 to +10, the underlying revenue percentage data can be leveraged to support tailored applications at the discretion of subscribing investors.

Methodological Foundations

The SDG Solutions Assessment is not directly based on scientific evidence but is informed by internationally recognized sustainability frameworks, with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals serving as the primary reference. The methodology applies a thematic approach across 15 proprietary sustainability objectives, drawing on guidance from the United Nations and national agencies, globally recognized taxonomies and certifications, as well as academic literature such as published peer-reviewed scientific studies. Products and services are assessed using a rules-based decision process that evaluates their

intended purpose and directly attributable impacts, with revenue-weighted scoring applied to translate product-level impacts into comparable indicators aligned with globally accepted sustainability objectives.

International Agreements

Under the UN Sustainable Development Goals, *Goal 13 Climate Action*, calls for urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts. In this context, the UN has stated that The Paris Agreement provides a durable framework for guiding global effort and is essential for the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals.

Within the SDG Solutions Assessment, the Objective Mitigating Climate Change is informed by the UN SDG 13 *Climate Action*, in combination with the sources listed above. The Objective Mitigating Climate Change focuses on stabilizing greenhouse gas concentration in the atmosphere at a level that would hold the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C or even 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels.


Nevertheless, please note that the SDG Solutions Assessment Methodology does not specifically measure alignment with the objectives and targets of the Paris Agreement. This is because the solution measures the impact of products and services on the contribution and obstruction to the Mitigating Climate Change Objective within the SDG Solutions Assessment.

The Objectives

The 15 objectives were defined by ISS STOXX following a detailed analysis of the UN Sustainable Development Goals, to consider if the goals are relevant for the private sector as well as how they can apply to the potential (positive and negative) contribution of a company's products and services. In addition, the objectives were designed in a way that allows for a clear distinction between the environmental and social objectives.

As a result of the thorough analysis and definition process, ISS STOXX defined seven social and eight environmental proprietary objectives (Figure 1). Two additional, non-distinct objectives were created to account for social or environmental (non-) beneficial impacts from products and services that cannot be assigned to one of the 15 objectives (e.g., fur-based products, ESG-related products and services). These are labeled "Other (Social)" and "Other (Environmental)".

Figure 1: Overview of 15 Sustainability Objectives



Methodology Reviews

The SDGA methodology and classification of individual products and services is subject to regular reviews that consider new scientific findings, technological progress, regulatory developments, and public discourse. Changes to existing classifications are overseen and approved by ISS STOXX research experts and methodology leaders and internally documented and generally communicated to investor clients via quarterly Methodology Update newsletters.

Materiality

The SDG Solutions Assessment is guided by considerations related to impact materiality, i.e., the actual or potential impacts to society and/or the environment. This is realized through the assessment of the material impact of a product or service on the contribution or obstruction of the Sustainability Objective, which may fall under the social or environmental pillar.

Objective-Specific Taxonomies

Overview and Links to the SDGs

The SDGA Sustainability Objectives are mapped to the premise of the UN Sustainable Development Goals for which assessed products and services could potentially be relevant. For example, ‘water services for residential customers’ and ‘energy supply to residential customers’ are both positively assessed in the objective Providing basic services. While the former is relevant for SDG 6 - Clean Water & Sanitation, the latter is relevant for SDG 7 - Affordable & Clean Energy.

Figure 2: Overview of Sustainability Objectives and Their Relevant UN SDG(s)

SDGA SUSTAINABILITY OBJECTIVES	UN SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS
7 SOCIAL OBJECTIVES	
ALLEVIATING POVERTY	1 – No Poverty
COMBATING HUNGER AND MALNUTRITION	2 – Zero Hunger
ENSURING HEALTH	3 – Good Health & Well-Being 6 – Clean Water & Sanitation
PROVIDING BASIC SERVICES	1 – No Poverty 3 – Good Health & Well-Being 4 – Quality Education 6 – Clean Water & Sanitation 7 – Affordable & Clean Energy 10 – Reduced Inequalities 11 – Sustainable Cities & Communities
DELIVERING EDUCATION	4 – Quality Education
ATTAINING GENDER EQUALITY	5 – Gender Equality 10 – Reduced Inequalities
SAFEGUARDING PEACE	16 – Peace, Justice & Strong Institutions
8 ENVIRONMENTAL OBJECTIVES	
ACHIEVING SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY	2 – Zero Hunger 15 – Life on Land
CONSERVING WATER	6 – Clean Water & Sanitation
CONTRIBUTING TO SUSTAINABLE ENERGY USE	7 – Affordable & Clean Energy
PROMOTING SUSTAINABLE BUILDINGS	11 – Sustainable Cities & Communities
OPTIMISING MATERIAL USE	12 – Responsible Consumption & Production
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	13 – Climate Action
PRESERVING MARINE ECOSYSTEMS	14 – Life below Water
PRESERVING TERRESTRIAL ECOSYSTEMS	15 – Life on Land

As shown in Figure 2, the UN SDGs 8, 9 and 17 are not mapped to any of the sustainability objectives. These goals primarily relate to either (macro-)economic (8 and 9) or public policy (17) targets that are not attributable to products and services of individual companies^{1,2,3}.

Sustainability Reporting Standards Topics

The Sustainability Objectives which overlap with topics from Article 29b of Directive 2013/34/EU are listed in the table below.

ESRS TOPIC	SDGA OBJECTIVE
ESRS E1 Climate Change	Mitigating Climate Change
ESRS E2 Pollution	Mitigating Climate Change Contributing to Sustainable Energy Use
ESRS E3 Water and Marine Resources	Preserving Marine Ecosystems Conserving Water
ESRS E4 Biodiversity and Ecosystems	Preserving Terrestrial Ecosystems Preserving Marine Ecosystems
ESRS E5 Resource Use and Circular Economy	Optimising Material Use

Fundamental Decision Process

The overall impact of a given product or service category regarding a certain sustainability objective is determined by a rules-based decision process.

The fundamental questions asked per objective are as follows:

1. Does the product or service by its intended purpose (or main impact) contribute to or obstruct the achievement of this specific sustainability objective? - and

¹ SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth) addresses employment-related issues such as job creation, economic inclusion, and decent work conditions. While companies can contribute to this SDG by being good and responsible employers, their impact from a product portfolio perspective is limited as there are no products or services directly contributing to this SDG's achievement.

² SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure) expresses macro-economic goals regarding sustainable infrastructure, industrialization, and innovation. Since this SDG is defined broadly, a significant number of products and services could potentially be positively assigned to it – however, this runs a certain risk of “SDG washing.” It was therefore decided not to include it as a separate objective in the SDG Solutions Assessment – particularly because potentially relevant products (e.g. energy-efficiency technology) are already positively assessed under at least one of the other, more thematic, objectives (here: Mitigating climate change).

³ SDG 17 (Partnerships for the Goals) emphasizes the importance of multi-stakeholder partnerships and the role of societal actors, including the private sector. While this SDG addresses companies' responsibilities at a meta-level, their impact from a product portfolio perspective is limited as there are no products or services directly contributing to the goal's achievement.

2. Does the product or service have additional side effects that are directly attributable and clearly positive/negative from this objective's perspective?


Where the answer to both questions is “No,” or where contributing and obstructing impacts neutralize each other, the product is evaluated as “no (net) impact.”

Where the answer to one of these questions is “Yes,” further analysis is applied to differentiate between those products and services with “limited” and those with “significant” contributing or obstructing impacts. Several parameters are factored into this assessment, such as:

- Product efficiency – How efficient is a certain product in its contribution to the objective? Is it the best-available technique or do more efficient solutions exist?
- Scale – How large is the number of beneficiaries or people negatively affected by a certain product's main impacts? How significant is the scale of environmental degradation or restoration?

Based on this rules-based decision process, ISS STOXX has defined a taxonomy for each of the 15 sustainability objectives that define fundamental concepts, e.g., the general understanding of an objective and the requirements that products and services must fulfill to be classified accordingly in the range from “significant obstruction” to “significant contribution.” The taxonomy is applied equally to all companies across industries.

Figure 3: The SDGA Decision Process



Social Objectives

ISS STOXX has defined seven social and eight environmental proprietary objectives' taxonomies listed below.

Combating Hunger & Malnutrition

The objective is to eliminate hunger, defined by the World Food Program as not having enough to eat to meet an individual's energy requirements, and malnutrition, a condition resulting from when an individual's diet does not provide adequate nutrients for growth and maintenance. Malnutrition encompasses both undernutrition and overnutrition.

Figure 4: Examples of Relevant Products and Services for 'Combating Hunger & Malnutrition'

ASSIGNED SCORE	RELEVANT PRODUCTS & SERVICES	PRODUCT EXAMPLES
10.0	Products with a high nutritional value that provide people with energy and beneficial nutrients for growth, development, and maintenance	• Fruits • Vegetables • Pulses
5.0	Products that provide people with energy and/or adequate nutrients for growth, development, and maintenance (good nutritional value)	• Rice • Raw cereals • Dairy products with limited processing/additives • Unprocessed fish • Water
0.0	Products/services with no (net) impact on hunger and malnutrition, including those that contribute to the farming/manufacturing of food products (indirect, dual use)	• Fertilizers • Agricultural machines • Processed dairy products • Vitamins • Flavors & Additives
-5.0	Products that provide people with inadequate nutrients for growth, development, and maintenance (low nutritional value)	• Highly processed food products e.g., processed chicken and fish products, fries, pizza, snack bars, potato chips, ready meals
-10.0	Products that promote malnutrition and thereby impede growth, development, and maintenance	• Alcohol • Red meat-based products • Energy and soft drinks • Chocolate & sweets • Sugar

Alleviating Poverty

The objective is to eliminate poverty in its various forms, including extreme poverty, defined by the UN Sustainable Development Goals as the number of people living on less than \$1.25 a day, and other forms of financial poverty according to national levels. Examples for contributing products or services include microfinance or health insurance for low-income groups. An example of an obstructing product or service is “controversial forms of gambling”.

Ensuring Health

The objective is to ensure that every person can, to the best extent possible, be cured from disease or infirmity and achieve or maintain a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being (based on the definition of the World Health Organization). Examples of contributing products or services include pharmaceuticals, healthcare facilities, or assistive devices. Examples of obstructing products or services include alcoholic beverages or tobacco.

Delivering Education

The objective is to provide core educational goods and services. Education, as defined by the UNESCO International Standard Classification of Education, comprises deliberate activities and communications designed to bring about learning. Examples of contributing products or services include primary and secondary education, educational materials, or school transportation services.

Attaining Gender Equality

The objective is to achieve equal rights, responsibilities, and opportunities for women and men, taking into consideration the diversity of interests, needs, and priorities of different groups of women and men (based on [UN Women](#)). Examples of contributing products or services include menstruation products, contraception, or financial services targeted at women.

Providing Basic Services

The objective is to provide basic services including water, sanitation, housing, energy, medical care, telecommunication, education, financial services, public transportation, postal services, and social security for all (based on, e.g., the definitions included in the UN Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Declaration of Copenhagen). The focus is on the provision of basic services, which mostly require a localized infrastructure, to private end customers (B2C). Examples of contributing products or services include affordable housing or water, energy, or waste services for residential customers.

Safeguarding Peace

The objective is to attain and sustain societies characterized by the absence of crime, violence, or fear of violence and to promote attitudes, structures, and institutions that underpin and sustain peaceful societies (based on the definition used by the Institute for Economics and Peace). Examples of contributing products or services include products for crime prevention such as dedicated software or security equipment. Examples of obstructing products or services include weapons (systems) or firearms.

Environmental Objectives

Mitigating Climate Change

The objective is to stabilize greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would hold the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C or even 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels.

Figure 5: Examples of Relevant Products and Services for ‘Mitigating Climate Change’

ASSIGNED SCORE	RELEVANT PRODUCTS	PRODUCT EXAMPLES
10.0	Products/services that by their intended purpose address the root causes of climate change	- Solar and wind power - Small-scale hydropower - Building insulation materials
5.0	Product/services which - help mitigate climate change (without addressing root causes) - enable energy efficiency/savings of otherwise negative products - have directly attributable side effects which help to mitigate climate change	- Rail transport - Bus transport - Alternative drives - Nuclear power - LEDs
0.0	Products/services with no (net) impact on climate change	- Natural gas-related products/services - Majority of other products/services
-5.0	Product/services - with directly attributable side effects aggravating climate change; - whose core characteristic is the consumption of coal and oil; - that require a highly carbon-intensive production process (clearly attributable to the product); - that facilitate the production of highly polluting and non-renewable energy sources	- Combustion engines and vehicles (e.g. cars, jets, trucks) - Cruises - Road/air transport - Key services to oil/coal production - Conventional palm oil - Ruminant red meat
-10.0	Products/services that significantly aggravate climate change (main impact, scale, root cause)	- Oil and coal-based energy - Related key components and services

Achieving Sustainable Agriculture & Forestry

The objective is to sustainably farm plants, trees, and livestock in a way that increases productivity and production while at the same time helping to maintain ecosystems; strengthening the capacity for adaptation to climate change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters; and progressively improving land and soil quality. Examples of contributing products or services include certified food/agricultural products, organic certified cotton, or biological crop protection. Examples of obstructing products or services include palm oil without relevant certifications or nitrogen- and phosphate-based fertilizer.

Conserving Water

The objective is to ensure that freshwater, including surface water, groundwater, municipal supply, and rainwater, is accessible and available in sufficient quantity and quality in natural ecosystems. Social considerations (accessibility, sanitation, and hygiene) are not relevant here but assessed under “Providing basic services” and/or “Ensuring health.” Examples of contributing products or services include (waste-)water treatment plants or water saving equipment. Examples of obstructing products or services include water-polluting pesticides or hydraulic fracturing.

Contributing to Sustainable Energy Use

The objective is to prioritize renewable and less polluting energy sources as well as efficiently using the selected energy sources. Examples of contributing products or services include energy generation based on renewable sources (such as solar, wind, geothermal), heat pumps, or electric vehicles. Examples of obstructing products or services include coal power plants, oil sands, or conventional combustion engine vehicles.

Promoting Sustainable Buildings

The objective is to minimize the negative environmental impacts related to building infrastructure through the entire infrastructure life-cycle in order to attain various other objectives, including the mitigation of climate change and the preservation of resources. Examples of contributing products or services include buildings certified to a sustainable building standard or building insulation materials.

Optimizing Material Use

The objective is to reduce overall raw material use and to utilize environmentally preferable materials (recycled raw materials, substitution of non-renewable raw materials with sustainable renewable raw materials) by increasing material efficiency, longevity, and reusability of products. Examples of contributing products or services include recycling facilities, products certified to a relevant material-efficiency standard, or reusable packaging materials.

Preserving Marine Ecosystems

The objective is to maintain functioning marine and coastal ecosystems by minimizing negative impacts such as pollution or overfishing and protecting threatened species as well as by restoring degraded ecosystems so that they have an intact biodiversity and can continue to deliver vital ecosystem services. Examples of contributing products or services include marine ecosystem restoration or marine oil spill containment services. Examples of obstructing products or services include single-use plastic products, commercial fishing without relevant certification, or cruises.

Preserving Terrestrial Ecosystems

The objective is to maintain functioning terrestrial ecosystems, e.g., wetlands, mountains, drylands, forests, and inland freshwater ecosystems, by minimizing pollution, preventing the over-extraction of resources, and protecting threatened species, as well as by restoring degraded ecosystems so that they have an intact biodiversity and can continue to deliver vital ecosystem services. Examples of contributing products or services include products from relevant certified sustainable forestry, soil remediation services, or terrestrial ecosystem conservation. Examples of obstructing products or services include large hydropower plants, gold mining, or products containing microplastics.

Assessment Rules

Evaluation Guidelines

Through clearly defined processes and evaluation guidelines, ISS STOXX ensures quality, consistency, and comparability of the product portfolio impact analysis and resulting data.

Based on the proprietary taxonomies described above, key products and services are classified by experienced analysts with deep industry knowledge. If analysts identify products or services as part of the assessment process that are not yet included and classified in the products and services database, SDGA research leads will discuss the addition with the respective industry specialists. Critical decisions are escalated to the Methodology Team for final review. All product classifications and modifications are documented and communicated to the research team.

Key Concepts

Dual-Use Approach

The dual-use concept refers to products or services that can be used for different purposes depending on the industry or that are not specifically designed for a purpose that is relevant to the SDGA assessment. Such products or services are assessed neutrally/no net impact as their positive, negative, or neutral impact depends on the respective use.

For instance, agricultural machinery used for farming organic carrots can also be used for farming potatoes used in processed, low-nutritional potato chips. Similarly, military transport trucks may have a different paint

job but can still be used in civil applications without alterations (assuming that the truck is not specifically adapted with armoring or guns).

Enablers

Enablers refer to products or services that directly contribute to a positive or negative solution, such as key components that are (i) part of the final product, (ii) key to making it work, and (iii) specifically adapted to the use of the final product. This also covers key services that are part of making the final product, key to making it work, and specifically adapted to the use of the final product.

As an example, key components of diagnostic and treatment devices are assessed positively in Ensuring Health like the final product, as they are considered enablers fulfilling the above requirements.

Promoters

Promoters refer to products/services that promote the production or provision of a product/service without being responsible for the direct positive or negative impacts, such as activities involving financing, retailing, trading, investments, insurance, construction, operation, management, leasing, and collection of royalties.

For example, retailing of prescription pharmaceuticals is assessed positively in Ensuring Health, as it promotes the use of prescription medicine or drugs, contributing to improving an individual's health. Construction of wind power plants promotes the use of wind power as a renewable source of energy; hence, it is assessed positively in Sustainable Energy Use and Mitigating Climate Change.

Net Sales Share Estimations

The SDG Solutions Assessment measures impact and as such requires relevant revenue information, on which an objective and reproducible assessment can be based. While the reporting of some companies provides exact figures on relevant product sales, others report only geographic segments or no segment sales at all. Where exact figures are not available, analysts take all relevant and available information into account to estimate the share of net sales a company generates with relevant products. Analysts are provided with clear guidance to ensure that results are based on reasonable assumptions:

- Net sales values are documented with one decimal.
- Products assumed to represent less than 1% of total sales are considered “de minimis” and data is not entered into the assessment.
- Reported data is entered with its exact value.
- Estimated data is rounded and 5% estimation intervals are used where more concrete information is unavailable on relevant product sales.

Figure 6: Revenue Concept - Example



CONCRETE DATA

A company reports that it generates 35% of revenues with the production of alcoholic beverages.



NO CONCRETE DATA, BUT CONCRETE EVIDENCE

A company reports that it generates 25% of revenues through its "beverages" segment, which includes alcoholic and non-alcoholic beverages. From its segment description, it becomes apparent that only single non-alcoholic beverages are sold, the rest are alcoholic beverage. The majority of segment sales (e.g., 20%) are therefore attributed to alcoholic beverages.



NO CONCRETE DATA, ONLY GENERAL EVIDENCE

A company runs restaurants. There is no breakdown of revenues by product group or segment. However, general evidence, such as the company's menu, indicates that alcoholic beverages are sold but seem to be negligible as compared to the total portfolio. Respectively, a conservative estimate is made (e.g., 5%).

Scoring and Assessment Outputs

Scoring

The SDGA Solutions Assessment is expressed in absolute values. For each thematic assessment, the share of net sales generated with relevant products and services is quantified per assessment category (i.e., significant contribution, limited contribution, etc.). As a result, the SDG Solutions Assessment provides 75 distinct data points per company (five revenue share numbers per sustainability objective), each of which is accompanied by a qualitative description of assessed products or services.

These results are aggregated into 18 scores on a -10 to +10 scale per company that allow for top-level assessments and comparisons, as well as into 6 aggregate revenue-based data points:

- **15 Objective Scores**, one score for each sustainability objective.
- The **SDG Solutions Score (SDGS)**, which assesses the overall, aggregated impact of a company's product portfolio on the achievement of sustainability objectives.
- The **SDGS Social**, which assesses the overall, aggregated impact of a company's product portfolio on the achievement of social objectives.
- The **SDGS Environmental**, which assesses the overall, aggregated impact of a company's product portfolio on the achievement of environmental objectives.

- Three **aggregated revenue-based data points** on the “**Minimum Combined Contribution**,” i.e., the minimum share of revenues generated with products and services contributing to at least one objective.
- Three **aggregated revenue-based data points** on the “**Minimum Combined Obstruction**,” i.e., the minimum share of revenues generated with products and services obstructing at least one objective.

The scoring and aggregation model enables the consideration of (potential) multidimensional impacts of products and services on different sustainability objectives and prevents a disproportionate influence of multiple-counted revenues on overall results. Beyond that, aggregate revenue-based information is only provided in the form of the “minimum share of revenues generated with products and services contributing to (or obstructing) at least one objective.” This conservative approach is designed to prevent any overstatement of positive or negative SDG impact.

To give an example: the product “energy generation based on hydropower (>1000MW)” is assessed with “limited obstruction” under the “Preserving terrestrial ecosystems” objective due to its detrimental impacts. The same product will also be assessed with “limited contribution” under “Mitigating climate change” and “Contributing to sustainable energy use” due to the positive contribution of hydropower toward these objectives. Therefore, net sales shares should not be added up across objectives to arrive at overall conclusions (e.g., statements like “80% of the company’s turnover can be classified as ‘significant contribution’”).

Objective Scores


For each sustainability objective, an Objective Score is calculated that assesses the overall impact of a company’s product portfolio on the achievement of a given objective. These scores are calculated by multiplying the (estimated) net sales shares generated with relevant products and services with the numeric scores assigned to the assessment category.

Figure 7: Objective Score Calculation Model – Example

Objective-Specific Product Category	Significant Obstruction	Limited Obstruction	No (Net) Impact	Limited Contribution	Significant Contribution
Category-Assigned Score	-10.0	-5.0	0.0	5.0	10.0
Net Sales Share (Estimate)	25%	50%	15%	0%	10%
Objective Score	$= 25\% \times [-10.0] + 50\% \times [-5.0] + 15\% \times [0.0] + 0\% \times [5.0] + 10\% \times [10.0]$ $= -4.0$				

All Objective Scores range on a scale from -10.0 (i.e., 100% of net sales are generated with products/services classified as having a significant obstructing impact) to 10.0 (i.e., 100% of net sales are generated with products/services classified as having a significant contributing impact) with an underlying classification into five broad categories as follows:

Figure 8: Numeric Scale and Categories



With an Objective Score of -4.0, the product portfolio of the example company would be classified as “limited obstruction” with regard to the affected objective.

SDG Solutions Score


The SDG Solutions Score expresses the overall impact of a company’s product portfolio on the achievement of sustainability objectives, allowing for peer and cross-industry comparisons, ranging on a scale from -10.0 to 10.0. The analysis is inherently backward-looking as the scores are based on company data reported or derived from annual and/or segment reports.

The overall SDG Solutions Score can be considered an aggregated rating due to the thematic scope of the Environmental and Social pillars. The scoring logic uses the most distinct logic, rather than a weighting approach.

The SDG Solutions Score considers only the most distinct Objective Scores (i.e., the highest positive and/or the lowest negative score) in its aggregation model, leading to three possible scenarios:


- For companies without any negative sustainability objective, the SDG Solutions Score is determined by the highest positive Objective Score.
- For companies without any positive sustainability objective, it is determined by the lowest negative Objective Score.
- For companies with both positive and negative sustainability objectives, it is calculated as the sum of the highest positive and the lowest negative Objective Score.

Figure 9: The SDG Solutions Score Aggregation Model



The aggregation model is in line with the general spirit of the UN SDGs, which do not give a normative preference to one goal over another. When it comes to businesses' contribution to the SDGs, it is argued that companies can and should focus their efforts on goals on which they can have the highest impact based on their business model ([SDG Compass Guide](#)).

SDG Solutions Score Aggregation Model – Example for Scenario 3:



A company's product portfolio with an SDG Solutions Score of 4.0 would be classified as "limited contribution." The significant contribution to the achievement of one objective is balanced by the limited obstructing impacts on the achievement of another objective.

The SDG Solutions Score is further broken down into the SDGS Social and the SDGS Environmental to allow for comparisons of impacts of a company's portfolio regarding aggregated social or environmental objectives only. The calculation of these scores follows the same overall aggregation model.

Research Process

Data Collection, Analysis, and Updates

Data collection and analysis for the SDGA is conducted exclusively in-house by trained and specialized analysts who adhere to comprehensive evaluation guidelines for each assessment.

Data is generally reviewed on an annual basis, integrating the latest available annual and/or segment reporting. The SDG Solutions Assessment is considered valid until the next scheduled update. As part of ISS STOXX's Corporate Rating process, companies are contacted both when they are rated for the first time and every two to three years thereafter for a voluntary review of their rating, which includes verification and validation of the information reported, including the assessment of their products and services portfolio. In addition to solicited feedback, companies covered in the Corporate Rating universe can access their rating free of charge via the [Governance Analytics platform](#)⁴ and submit unsolicited feedback via the [ISS STOXX HelpDesk](#) at any time.

The Corporate Rating applies an industry approach using a proprietary industry classification system consisting of 73 industries. The SDG Solutions Assessment does not use an industry classification in its scoring systems, however during the research collection process, data collection and analysis is often

⁴ The Governance Analytics platform is available to all corporate issuers and managed by ISS Corporate Solutions, Inc. ("ICS"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of ISS. Due to a potential conflict of interest between these business units, ISS has implemented a firewall which separates ICS from ISS.

conducted by analysts specializing in the relevant industry classification of the issuer based on the Corporate Rating industry classification.

Sources of Information

The main sources consulted for the SDGA are companies' public disclosures, specifically their most recent Annual Report and Segment Reporting. Data is sourced from various company disclosures including sustainability statements required under Directive 2013/34/EU as well as information required under Regulation (EU) 2019/2088. Further relevant information on products and services is taken from companies' websites, other documents such as Sustainability Reports or Investor Presentations, allowing for a realistic picture of a company's product portfolio and its sustainability impacts.

Limitations

The SDG Solutions Assessment's methodology and data may be subject to certain limitations. The below outlines such limitations as well as the action taken to address these:

Limitation in Availability or Consistency of Data Sources

- The SDG Solutions Assessment measures impact and as such requires relevant revenue information, on which an objective and reproducible assessment can be based. While the reporting of some companies provides exact figures on relevant product sales, others report only geographic segments or no segment sales at all. Where exact figures are not available, analysts take all relevant and available information into account to estimate the share of net sales a company generates with relevant products.

Limitations in the Completeness, Timeliness, and Accuracy of Information

- In instances where completeness of the assessment may be impacted by the lack of or limited publicly available data, analysts take all relevant information into account to estimate the share of net sales a company generates with relevant products.

Limitations in the use of Assumptions, Proxy Reference Points, and Data Estimation

- The multidimensionality of the products and services means that revenues cannot be aggregated across objectives unconditionally. This is because one product or service revenue stream may apply to multiple objectives. For example, contribution to both objectives Mitigating Climate Change and Sustainable Energy Use. To solve for this in the aggregation calculation, the most distinct logic is applied so that a revenue stream across multiple objectives is not double counted.

Quality Assurance

ISS STOXX has established a quality management system featuring the following quality controls for the SDG Solutions Assessment:

- The SDG Solutions Assessment is based on a consistent methodology and classifications of products and services to ensure comparability of assessments across companies and sectors.
- The methodology and scoring approaches are built into proprietary software utilized by the analyst and designed in a way to ensure objectivity, consistency, and comparability of assessments.
- Industry specialists, dedicated SDG methodology leads, and the SDGA specialists regularly exchange information on recent developments and assessments in bilateral or multilateral discussions and collectively agree on methodological developments and changes.
- All assessments are systematically proofread by a second analyst who is familiar with the methodology and process.
- Regular data quality checks are conducted by the SDGA Quality Management Team.
- All analysts undergo an in-depth training program, including industry-specific training sessions and the provision of guidance materials.
- Furthermore, all companies are given the opportunity to comment on their assessment and provide additional information and feedback during regular updates of the ISS STOXX Corporate Rating.

Appendix

Appendix A: Example


Electric Utility Company


A (fictitious) company is exclusively involved in energy generation and discloses energy generation by source:

- 60% of total net sales: energy generated based on nuclear power
- 30% of total net sales: energy generated based on coal
- 10% of total net sales: energy generated based on renewables such as wind power

The company’s customer base consists of consumer households (estimated 50% of total net sales) and private sector clients (estimated 50% of total net sales).

Following the taxonomies of the sustainability objectives, the company’s product portfolio is relevant to the objectives “Providing basic services”, “Contributing to sustainable energy use”, “Mitigating climate change”, and “Preserving terrestrial ecosystems”:





Based on the scoring model, the calculation of Objective Scores is as follows:

- Providing basic services: $50\% \times [5.0] = 2.5$
- Contributing to sustainable energy use: $90\% \times [-10.0] + 10\% \times [10.0] = -8.0$
- Mitigating climate change: $30\% \times [-10.0] + 60\% \times [5.0] + 10\% \times [10.0] = 1.0$
- Preserving terrestrial ecosystems: $60\% \times [-5.0] = -3.0$
- All other objectives: $0\% = 0$

The calculation of the SDG Solutions Scores is:

- SDGS Social = $2.5 + 0 = 2.5$ (aggregation of the most distinct social Objective Scores)
- SDGS Environmental = $-8.0 + 1.0 = -7.0$ (aggregation of the most distinct environmental Objective Scores)
- SDG Solutions Score = $-8.0 + 2.5 = -5.5$ (aggregation of the most distinct Objective Scores)

Thus, the overall assessment of the company is “significant obstruction” based on the majority of energy generation stemming from highly polluting and non-renewable energy sources. The assessment, however, also positively considers that the company provides energy to private housing and has a minor share of renewable energy generation in its portfolio.

Appendix B: Version Control

Name of Methodology: SDG Solutions Assessment

VERSION	DATE	DETAILS
1.0	July 2024	Publication of the SDG Solutions Assessment Methodology and Research Process document
2.0	December 2025	Integrated new text in SDGA Objective and Methodological Foundations
2.1	March 2026	Document review and update
3.0	July 2026	Publication of the SDG Solutions Assessment Methodology and Research Process document Inclusion of the Public Disclosure on the Methodology, Models, and Key Rating Assumptions Used in ESG Rating Activities (as defined by the Regulation (EU) 2024/3005)



sales@iss-stoxx.com
iss-stoxx.com

ISS STOXX delivers world-class research, data, and technology solutions that empower capital market participants to pursue their visions with confidence. Our expertise spans indices, corporate governance, sustainability, cyber risk, and fund intelligence, giving clients the tools they need to uncover opportunities, manage risks, and navigate evolving regulations. We are made up of 4,000 professionals operating across 20 countries and serving approximately 5,000 clients, including many of the world's leading institutional investors. Our scale and reach give us deep market knowledge, while our innovative methodologies allow us to offer our clients tailored insights that drive impact and success.

ISS STOXX Sustainability enables investors to develop and integrate responsible investing policies and practices, engage on responsible investment issues, and monitor portfolio company practices through screening solutions. It also provides climate data, analytics, and advisory services to help financial market participants understand, measure, and act on climate-related risks across all asset classes. In addition, our Sustainability solutions cover corporate and country research and ratings enabling its clients to identify material social and environmental risks and opportunities. Sustainability solutions are provided by Institutional Shareholder Services Inc., an investment adviser registered under the U.S. Investment Advisers Act of 1940.

This report and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs and charts, is the property of ISS STOXX GmbH ("ISS STOXX") and/or its licensors and is provided for informational purposes only. The information may not be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or disseminated, in whole or in part, without prior written permission from ISS STOXX.

The user of this report assumes all risks of any use that it may make or permit to be made of the information. While ISS STOXX exercised due care in compiling this report, ISS STOXX makes no express or implied warranties or representations with respect to the information in, or any results to be obtained by the use of, the report.

The Information has not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. None of the Information is intended to constitute an offer, solicitation or advice to buy or sell securities nor is it intended to solicit votes or proxies.

ISS STOXX Sustainability solutions are provided by Institutional Shareholder Services Inc., a U.S. registered investment adviser.

©2026 ISS STOXX and/or its subsidiaries. All rights reserved.